

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/973,809	10/11/2001	Lisa Palmqvist	024444-975	7897	
7	590 01/09/2003				
Ronald L. Grudziecki BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P. P.O. Box 1404			EXAMINER		
			CHEN, BRET P		
Alexandria, VA	22313-1404				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1762		
			DATE MAILED: 01/09/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/973,809

Applicant(s)

Lisa Palmqvist et al.

Examiner

Bret Chen

Art Unit 1762



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	n the cover	sheet with	the correspondence address		
Period f	or Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.						
- If the n	eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the	statutory minim	num of thirty (3	0) days will be considered timely.		
- Failure	neriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the	application to b	ecome ABAND	ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of thi patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	s communicatio	n, even if timel	y filed, may reduce any		
Status	,					
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			··································		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This action					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposi	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>11-20</u>			is/are pending in the application.		
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
6) X	Claim(s) <u>11-20</u>					
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.		
8) 🗌	Claims					
Applica	ition Papers					
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗌 acce	epted or b)	\square objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the dr					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		_is: a)□	approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t			•		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	ner.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)💢	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority unde	r 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) (ズ All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been rece	eived.			
	2. X Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been rec	eived in Ap	plication No. <u>09/496,200</u> .		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea	au (PCT Ru	le 17.2(a))	•		
	see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the					
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic					
	The translation of the foreign language provisiona					
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority un	der 35 U.S	i.C. 93 120 and/or 121.		
Attachn		4) 🗆	O 'D	TO 413) Peper No(e)		
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	_		TO-413) Paper No(s)		
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 4 6) Other:					
⊃ı X∐r	ironnation disclosure Statement(s) (F10-1445) Paper No(s).	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/973809

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 11-20 are pending in this application, which is a DIV of Serial Number of 09/496200, now US Patent 6,333,100.

The preliminary amendment dated 10/11/01 canceling claims 1-10 is noted.

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119
 (a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 09/496200, filed on 2/02/00.

Drawings

2. The Formal drawings filed 10/11/01 are not objected to by the Draftperson under 37 CFR 1.84 or 1.152.

Specification

3. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves



Art Unit: 1762

modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

It is noted that the claimed invention is directed to a method. The examiner suggests amending the abstract to reflect same.

4. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

It is noted that the claimed invention is directed solely to a method. The examiner suggests amending the title to reflect same.

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities listed below.

Appropriate correction is required.

On p.1 before the first sentence, the lineage should be updated to reflect maturation to a US Patent.

Application/Control Number: 09/973809

Art Unit: 1762

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Lindholm et al. (6,326,093). Lindholm discloses a method of making a cutting tool comprising forming a powder mixture containing WC, Co and cubic carbides and mixing said powders with a pressing agent such that the desired CW-ratio is obtained; milling and spray drying the mixture to a powder material with the desired properties; pressing and sintering the powder material at a temperature of 1300-1500.degree. C., in a controlled atmosphere of about 50-mbar followed by cooling to form a substrate; applying a hard, wear resistant coating by PVD techniques comprising depositing a first innermost bonding layer of TiN and three additional layers (claim 9). The cemented carbide body is comprised of a composition of 9-12 wt % Co, 0.2-2.0 wt % cubic carbides from elements from group IVa, Va or VIa of the periodic table, preferably 1.2-1.8 wt % (col.2 lines 11-19). However, the reference fails to teach the appropriate weight percentages.

It is noted that the reference teaches overlapping ranges. Overlapping ranges are *prima* facie evidence of obviousness. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art



Art Unit: 1762

to have selected the portion of Lindholm's weight percentage that corresponds to the claimed range.

Waldenstrom et al. (6,468,680) and Ostlund et al. (6,210,632) have been provided for additional information

Double Patenting

7. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 11-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,326,093. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because recitation of weight percentages is an obvious variation.

Art Unit: 1762

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bret Chen whose telephone number is (703) 308-3809. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 10:00 am to 7:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive Beck, can be reached on (703) 308-2333. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9310. Amendment After Finals should be faxed to (703) 872-9311.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

bc January 7, 2003

> BRET CHEN PRIMARY EXAMINER